

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Section B: General Information

Please refer survey questions or comments to Mr. Gerald Tallman, Support Services Section Manager
Overland Park Police Department, gerry.tallman@opkansas.org or 913-327-6989

Background

The Benchmark City Survey was originally designed in 1997 by a core group of Police Chiefs from around the country. These chiefs sought to establish a measurement tool to help ensure their Departments were providing the best service possible within their respective community.

The survey provides a wide range of information about each Department. With that information, the participating agencies can set better goals and objectives, and then compare their performance in the various areas.

The Overland Park, Kansas Police Department has taken the lead in compiling the survey results, and makes the final Benchmark City Survey Report available to all participants at an annual Chief's Summit hosted by participating agencies on a rotating basis. The Overland Park and Olathe Police Departments hosted this year's Fourteenth Anniversary Chiefs' Summit.

2010 Participating Agencies


Alameda, CA – Michael C. Noonan
Bellevue, WA - Linda Pillo
Boca Raton, FL - Daniel C. Alexander
Boise, ID - Michael F. Masterson
Boulder, CO - Mark Beckner
Broken Arrow, OK - Todd Wuestewald
Cedar Rapids, IA – Greg Graham
Chesapeake, VA - Kevin L. Wright
Chula Vista, CA - David Bejarano

Coral Springs, FL - Duncan Foster
Edmond, OK - Bob A. Ricks
Fort Collins, CO - Dennis V. Harrison
Fremont, CA - Craig T. Steckler
Garland, TX - Mitch Bates
Henderson, NV – Jutta Chambers
Irving, TX - Larry Boyd
Lakewood, CO - Kevin Paletta
Lawrence, KS - Tarik Khatib

Lincoln, NE - Tom Casady
Naperville, IL - David E. Dial
Norman, OK - Phil Cotten
Olathe, KS – Steve Menke
Overland Park, KS - John M. Douglass
Plano, TX - Gregory W. Rushin
Richardson, TX – Jim Spivey
San Angelo, TX - Tim R. Vasquez
Springfield, MO – Paul Williams
Tracy, CA – Janet M. Thiessen

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark Cities - 2010




A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Average Response Time Priority I, 2-Year Comparison

2010 Average Response Time is 5.08 Minutes The Median Response time is 4.65



Note: Time is displayed in fractions of minutes. Example: 5.75 = 5 minutes and 45 seconds. Calls For Service include only calls where someone telephoned to request police response. They do not include officer-initiated calls.

** Chula Vista's response time is calculated from route to arrive and is not included in the average calculation.

* Some Data Not Available and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Average Response Time Priority I, 5-Year Comparison

2010 Average Response Time is 5.08 Minutes The Median Response time is 4.65

City Name	Square Miles	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Alameda, CA *	12.7	2.58	2.39	2.34		2.03
Bellevue, WA	32.0	4.65	5.50	6.40	6.01	5.73
Boca Raton, FL	29.6	4.25	4.33	8.33	7.77	5.80
Boise, ID *	80.9	3.57	3.69	3.52	3.49	
Boulder, CO	25.4	4.38	3.24	2.15	2.22	3.83
Broken Arrow, OK	56.3	4.78	4.55	4.83	4.47	4.52
Cedar Rapids, IA *	73.1	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	
Chesapeake, VA *	353.0	8.05	8.19	9.14		
Chula Vista, CA * **	50.9	4.46	4.40	4.30	4.77	
Coral Springs, FL	23.9	4.94	5.03	5.11	5.20	5.31
Edmond, OK	87.5	4.13	4.57	3.32	3.25	4.95
Fort Collins, CO	55.6	4.97	4.93	4.47	4.47	7.28
Fremont, CA	92.0	7.32	7.03	6.75	8.83	9.25
Garland, TX	57.0	5.55	5.86	5.81	5.90	6.08
Henderson, NV *	104.0	5.40	5.40			
Irving, TX	67.6	6.09	6.04	5.49	7.01	6.17
Lakewood, CO	44.9	4.40	4.08	4.20	4.25	4.20
Lawrence, KS *	28.7	5.72	5.65			
Lincoln, NE	90.7	3.85	3.65	3.60	3.48	2.80
Naperville, IL	39.2	4.41	4.55	3.81	3.00	3.50
Norman, OK	196.5	3.82	4.05	4.77	4.45	4.42
Olathe, KS	60.5	4.58	3.83	3.75	4.50	4.45
Overland Park, KS	75.3	5.90	5.75	5.63	5.87	6.13
Plano, TX	72.2	4.46	4.83	5.13	4.97	5.08
Richardson, TX	29.0	6.85	6.90	7.13	7.35	11.13
San Angelo, TX	58.8	5.53	6.12	8.21	6.97	7.34
Springfield, MO *	82.5	6.15	8.33	8.41	8.53	
Tracy, CA *	22.0	6.50	6.47	6.48		
Average	72.3	5.08	5.15	5.49	5.28	5.50
Median	58.8	4.65	4.93	5.11	4.42	5.23

Note: Time is displayed in fractions of minutes. Example: 5.75 = 5 minutes and 45 seconds. Calls For Service include only calls where someone telephoned to request police response. They do not include officer-initiated calls.

** Chula Vista's response time is calculated from route to arrive and is not included in the average calculation.


* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Calls For Service Per 1,000 Citizens, 2-Year Comparison

2010 Average Calls For Service Per 1,000 Citizens is 462.3



Calls For Service include only calls where someone telephoned to request police response, not officer-initiated calls.

* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Calls For Service Per 1,000 Citizens, 5-Year Comparison

2010 Average Calls For Service Per 1,000 Citizens is 462.3

City Name	Population	Total Calls For Service	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Alameda, CA	76,824	47,870	623.1	618.3	651.3	642.0	558.6
Bellevue, WA	122,363	35,414	289.4	322.0	316.2	317.4	338.9
Boca Raton, FL	86,032	61,433	714.1	733.6	585.9	612.0	770.5
Boise, ID *	205,671	75,993	369.5	355.7	375.8	422.0	
Boulder, CO	103,650	78,303	755.5	750.1	757.7	846.0	825.6
Broken Arrow, OK	98,850	81,996	829.5	841.5	859.5	333.7	318.9
Cedar Rapids, IA *	126,326	79,758	631.4	622.5	708.4	889.5	
Chesapeake, VA *	223,647	123,352	551.5	605.2	792.2		
Chula Vista, CA *	237,595	67,195	282.8	300.5	324.4	324.5	
Coral Springs, FL	127,359	71,116	558.4	631.2	620.3	590.9	557.7
Edmond, OK	81,405	30,644	376.4	372.9	459.1	460.0	362.8
Fort Collins, CO	143,986	55,732	387.1	388.9	396.3	418.1	422.5
Fremont, CA	215,000	74,106	344.7	357.9	359.1	332.4	312.6
Garland, TX	226,876	126,146	556.0	614.0	633.8	601.2	643.2
Henderson, NV *	277,389	106,994	385.7	386.7			
Irving, TX	215,893	115,857	536.6	565.8	534.2	573.3	728.4
Lakewood, CO	148,300	64,046	431.9	422.0	421.3	445.2	398.0
Lawrence, KS *	87,643	52,573	599.9	634.5			
Lincoln, NE	257,242	128,245	498.5	505.3	507.7	562.6	576.6
Naperville, IL	141,853	38,925	274.4	329.9	409.2	375.2	373.5
Norman, OK	111,000	59,084	532.3	513.1	545.1	508.2	499.0
Olathe, KS	126,225	41,779	331.0	359.9	384.4	378.0	369.5
Overland Park, KS	173,377	63,064	363.7	371.7	375.0	426.5	426.8
Plano, TX	265,000	107,352	405.1	427.7	440.2	432.0	451.2
Richardson, TX	99,223	52,267	526.8	552.2	564.7	543.4	539.2
San Angelo, TX	92,147	51,164	555.2	543.8	590.8	618.7	604.7
Springfield, MO *	159,498	80,296	503.4	460.1	496.2	516.2	
Tracy, CA *	82,570	27,529	333.4	372.0	399.9		
Average	154,034	71,365	463.3	478.9	506.7	507.0	503.9

Calls For Service include only calls where someone telephoned to request police response, not officer-initiated calls.


* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Calls For Service Per Officer, 2-Year Comparison

2010 Average Calls For Service Per Officer is 324.0



Calls For Service include only calls where someone telephoned to request police response, not officer-initiated calls.

* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Calls For Service Per Officer, 5-Year Comparison

2010 Average Calls For Service Per Officer is 324.0

City Name	Authorized Officers	Total Calls For Service	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Alameda, CA	92	47,870	520.3	520.3	495.1	482.5	424.6
Bellevue, WA	180	35,414	196.7	195.7	210.1	214.0	227.9
Boca Raton, FL	198	61,433	310.3	310.3	275.6	270.4	360.5
Boise, ID *	310	75,993	245.1	233.8	248.0	311.8	
Boulder, CO	171	78,303	457.9	457.9	457.3	510.6	495.6
Broken Arrow, OK	124	81,996	661.3	666.6	700.1	264.5	246.3
Cedar Rapids, IA *	202	79,758	394.8	391.0	442.3	566.0	
Chesapeake, VA *	383	123,352	322.1	318.7	455.0		
Chula Vista, CA *	240	67,195	280.0	280.0	308.7	302.9	
Coral Springs, FL	202	71,116	352.1	352.1	395.9	384.0	368.5
Edmond, OK	116	30,644	264.2	264.2	311.1	307.1	247.2
Fort Collins, CO	170	55,732	327.8	327.8	315.4	336.0	339.9
Fremont, CA	183	74,106	405.0	400.6	390.2	358.9	349.4
Garland, TX	323	126,146	390.5	389.3	444.5	441.5	449.0
Henderson, NV *	391	106,994	273.6	273.7			
Irving, TX	345	115,857	335.8	335.8	335.3	342.6	441.7
Lakewood, CO	258	64,046	248.2	249.2	247.0	260.5	238.6
Lawrence, KS *	142	52,573	370.2	394.4			
Lincoln, NE	321	128,245	399.5	404.6	404.0	436.2	441.2
Naperville, IL	167	38,925	233.1	222.4	314.9	282.3	280.2
Norman, OK	165	59,084	358.1	376.3	403.3	409.4	420.7
Olathe, KS	171	41,779	244.3	244.3	277.4	266.2	270.1
Overland Park, KS	253	63,064	249.3	244.4	249.9	274.2	295.5
Plano, TX	341	107,352	314.8	314.8	330.9	312.2	325.2
Richardson, TX	150	52,267	348.4	348.4	369.4	356.3	352.1
San Angelo, TX	159	51,164	321.8	321.8	336.2	354.8	345.6
Springfield, MO *	326	80,296	246.3	246.3	256.5	265.6	
Tracy, CA *	85	27,529	323.9	299.2	353.9		
Average	220	71,365	324.0	322.9	350.6	346.3	346.0

Calls For Service include only calls where someone telephoned to request police response, not officer-initiated calls.


* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Calls For Service Per First Responder, 2-Year Comparison

2010 Average Calls For Service Per First Responder is 517.0



Calls For Service include only calls where someone telephoned to request police response, not officer-initiated calls.

* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Calls For Service Per First Responder, 5-Year Comparison

2010 Average Calls For Service Per First Responder is 517.0

City Name	First Responders	Total Calls For Service	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Alameda, CA	69	47,870	693.8	833.4	803.4	783.1	1000.9
Bellevue, WA	107	35,414	331.0	359.6	330.7	309.5	558.5
Boca Raton, FL	123	61,433	499.5	460.6	523.1	421.6	875.6
Boise, ID *	218	75,993	348.6	327.8	397.0		
Boulder, CO	102	78,303	767.7	762.2	759.3	746.3	792.0
Broken Arrow, OK	78	81,996	1051.2	1062.4	997.8	373.9	513.5
Cedar Rapids, IA *	123	79,758	648.4	664.3	737.2	863.9	
Chesapeake, VA *	302	123,352	408.5	692.0	903.0		
Chula Vista, CA *	146	67,195	460.2	470.1	503.5	427.1	
Coral Springs, FL	116	71,116	613.1	692.2	672.1	503.7	842.4
Edmond, OK	76	30,644	403.2	391.9	434.8	434.5	384.5
Fort Collins, CO	110	55,732	506.7	553.7	552.8	472.2	659.3
Fremont, CA	97	74,106	764.0	770.0	772.6	498.9	552.0
Garland, TX	196	126,146	643.6	711.8	727.3	613.6	756.8
Henderson, NV *	250	106,994	428.0	467.3			
Irving, TX	203	115,857	570.7	601.7	571.0	485.0	1043.2
Lakewood, CO *	166	64,046	385.8	374.7			
Lawrence, KS *	89	52,573	590.7	631.9			
Lincoln, NE	198	128,245	647.7	622.8	615.7	553.1	799.2
Naperville, IL	113	38,925	344.5	417.6	520.2	410.5	532.1
Norman, OK	101	59,084	585.0	595.3	651.5	563.9	775.2
Olathe, KS	116	41,779	360.2	388.5	408.9	347.7	543.6
Overland Park, KS	150	63,064	420.4	429.2	442.0	408.9	511.9
Plano, TX	203	107,352	528.8	555.8	544.2	427.4	630.6
Richardson, TX	87	52,267	600.8	625.7	632.6	525.7	749.5
San Angelo, TX	99	51,164	516.8	454.2	581.1	557.5	598.0
Springfield, MO *	172	80,296	466.8	396.2	452.0		
Tracy, CA *	55	27,529	500.5	542.9	581.4		
Average	138	71,365	517.0	566.3	595.9	504.5	695.8

Calls For Service include only calls where someone telephoned to request police response, not officer-initiated calls.

* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Top Five Calls For Service By Type

Top Five Calls For Service	Alarm				
	Disturbance				
	Traffic Crash				
	Suspicious Activity				
	Domestic				
City Name	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5
Alameda, CA	911	Traffic Complaint	Suspicious Activity	Disturbance	Alarm
Bellevue, WA	911	Alarm	Suspicious Activity	Traffic Crash	Theft
Boca Raton, FL	Alarm	Suspicious Activity	911	Disturbance	Traffic Crash
Boise, ID	Traffic Complaint	Welfare Check	Traffic Crash	Theft	Suspicious Activity
Boulder, CO	Medical	Noise Complaint	Traffic Crash	Theft	Traffic Complaint
Broken Arrow, OK	Alarm	Traffic Crash	Domestic	Suspicious Person	Contact a Subject
Cedar Rapids, IA	Disturbance	Traffic Crash	Theft	Domestic	Contact a Subject
Chesapeake, VA	Traffic Complaint	Alarm	Miscellaneous	Domestic	Traffic Crash
Chula Vista, CA	Alarm	Disturbance	Domestic	Noise Complaint	Traffic Crash
Coral Springs, FL	Alarm	Miscellaneous	Suspicious Activity	Traffic Crash	Noise Complaint
Edmond, OK	Alarm	Traffic Complaint	Contact a Subject	Domestic	Noise Complaint
Fort Collins, CO	Traffic Complaint	Suspicious Activity	Disturbance	Assist Other Agency	Traffic Crash
Fremont, CA	Suspicious Activity	Disturbance	Welfare Check	Suspicious Person	Domestic
Garland, TX	Disturbance	Alarm	Domestic	Suspicious Activity	Traffic Crash
Henderson, NV	Disturbance	Domestic	Assist Other Agency	Threat Suicide	Alarm
Irving, TX	Alarm	Disturbance	Domestic	Traffic Complaint	Suspicious Person
Lakewood, CO	Suspicious Activity	Disturbance	Traffic Crash	Welfare Check	Noise Complaint
Lawrence, KS	Contact a Subject	Traffic Crash	Medical	Animal	Alarm
Lincoln, NE	Disturbance	Miscellaneous	Traffic Crash	Traffic Complaint	Theft
Naperville, IL	Suspicious Activity	Lockout Assist	Alarm	Traffic Crash	Animal
Norman, OK	Alarm	Suspicious Person	Disturbance	Contact a Subject	Theft
Olathe, KS	Animal	Medical	Traffic Crash	Alarm	911
Overland Park, KS	Traffic Crash	Traffic Complaint	911	Animal	Alarm
Plano, TX	Alarm	Traffic Crash	Contact a Subject	911	Disturbance
Richardson, TX	Alarm	Contact a Subject	Disturbance	Suspicious Person	Traffic Crash
San Angelo, TX	Domestic	Contact a Subject	Alarm	Disturbance	Traffic Crash
Springfield, MO	Check Well-Being	Contact a Subject	Traffic Crash	Domestic	Disturbance
Tracy, CA	Alarm	Disturbance	Security Check	Suspicious Activity	Animal

Calls For Service include only calls where someone telephoned to request police response, not officer-initiated calls. Call types were modified slightly to provide consistency among the cities. The actual call type is recorded on the Survey Master Worksheet.


* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Percent of Recovered Stolen Property (not vehicles), 2-Year Comparison

2010 Average Percent of Recovered Property is 7.3%



Note: Percentage of recovered property is based on the property value.

* Some Data Not Available and not included in average

** Not Tracked

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Percent of Recovered Stolen Property (not vehicles), 5-Year Comparison

2010 Average Percent of Recovered Property is 7.3%

City Name	Stolen Property (excluding vehicles)	Recovered Property (excluding vehicles)	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Alameda, CA	\$2,221,939	\$83,323	3.8%	4.1%	8.8%	9.2%	8.6%
Bellevue, WA	\$4,884,678	\$332,944	6.8%	5.2%	3.1%	14.6%	5.2%
Boca Raton, FL	\$5,190,423	\$574,804	11.1%	3.7%	6.3%	4.6%	28.6%
Boise, ID *	\$5,644,941	\$796,371	14.1%	12.1%	7.3%	8.4%	
Boulder, CO	\$3,965,242	\$155,126	3.9%	4.4%	6.7%	7.3%	8.8%
Broken Arrow, OK	\$2,220,078	\$157,329	7.1%	4.4%	11.6%	15.1%	6.6%
Cedar Rapids, IA *	\$3,571,919	\$254,966	7.1%	5.2%	7.2%		
Chesapeake, VA **	Not Tracked	Not Tracked					
Chula Vista, CA *	\$5,798,134	\$166,381	2.9%	4.8%	8.0%	8.4%	
Coral Springs, FL	\$7,015,888	\$500,876	7.1%	4.8%	4.8%	3.9%	5.7%
Edmond, OK	\$2,362,269	\$290,755	12.3%	2.3%	5.8%	5.2%	5.5%
Fort Collins, CO	\$3,821,834	\$240,100	6.3%	3.7%	4.0%	2.7%	3.6%
Fremont, CA	\$9,691,462	\$274,795	2.8%	2.0%	2.0%	1.5%	2.4%
Garland, TX	\$13,327,384	\$880,669	6.6%	10.8%	8.7%	10.5%	5.0%
Henderson, NV *	\$14,517,871	\$788,273	5.4%	10.8%			
Irving, TX	\$10,662,573	\$492,138	4.6%	5.7%	3.7%	4.0%	5.7%
Lakewood, CO *	\$4,070,036	\$379,994	9.3%	7.3%			
Lawrence, KS **	Not Tracked	Not Tracked					
Lincoln, NE	\$4,704,827	\$845,936	18.0%	18.5%	22.5%	21.5%	17.0%
Naperville, IL *	\$1,951,639	\$704,518	36.1%				
Norman, OK	\$4,649,268	\$165,906	3.6%	3.9%	11.7%	3.0%	2.7%
Olathe, KS	\$1,883,490	\$107,368	5.7%	13.0%	6.0%	5.8%	7.5%
Overland Park, KS	\$5,910,439	\$462,473	7.8%	7.9%	8.2%	7.7%	8.8%
Plano, TX	\$10,863,292	\$843,716	7.8%	5.9%	7.9%	8.6%	5.8%
Richardson, TX	\$5,481,488	\$407,238	7.4%	5.6%	7.9%	5.4%	6.3%
San Angelo, TX	\$3,914,382	\$228,232	5.8%	5.7%	7.6%	9.2%	7.9%
Springfield, MO **	Not Tracked	Not Tracked					
Tracy, CA *	\$2,276,574	\$98,617	4.3%	3.7%	2.0%		
Average	\$5,624,083	\$409,314	7.3%	6.4%	7.0%	7.5%	8.5%

Note: Percentage of recovered property is based on the property value.

* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average


** Not Tracked

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Property Unit Workload (Items Processed), 2-Year Comparison

2010 Average Number of Items Processed is 28,359



*** Coral Springs response counts each individual item (i.e. currency - bill/coin) and is not included in the average.

* Some Data Not Available and not included in average

** Not Tracked

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Property Unit Workload (Items Processed)

2010 Average Number of Items Processed is 28,359

City Name	Number of items that flowed through the Property Room	Number of items taken in	Number of items released to owner	Number of items released for court	Number of items destroyed or disposed of
Alameda, CA	8,226	3,042	439	34	4,711
Bellevue, WA	15,860	10,143	457	8	5,252
Boca Raton, FL	25,027	15,847	1,020	75	8,085
Boise, ID *	29,030	15,241			13,789
Boulder, CO	19,310	12,347	1,563	0	5,400
Broken Arrow, OK	8,177	4,237	591	315	3,034
Cedar Rapids, IA	5,977	5,351	243	53	330
Chesapeake, VA ***	Not Tracked				
Chula Vista, CA *	75,951	40,873	9,401		25,677
Coral Springs, FL **	102,368	66,563	4,016	357	31,432
Edmond, OK *	14,666	8,606			6,060
Fort Collins, CO	26,653	14,981	1,695	180	9,797
Fremont, CA	45,629	12,245	1,323	20,261	11,800
Garland, TX	36,274	14,010	795	7	21,462
Henderson, NV	21,204	12,693	1,109	3,946	3,456
Irving, TX *	41,018	19,064	6,605		15,349
Lakewood, CO *	38,192	24,426	2,183		11,583
Lawrence, KS *	21,257	11,149	964		9,144
Lincoln, NE *	66,440	35,854	3,037		27,549
Naperville, IL	19,834	9,978	687	239	8,930
Norman, OK	14,016	6,610	645	175	6,586
Olathe, KS	23,195	9,445	710	470	12,570
Overland Park, KS	24,219	12,995	695	2,469	8,060
Plano, TX	40,540	14,768	14,121	2,279	9,372
Richardson, TX	42,494	20,741	7,347	146	14,260
San Angelo, TX *	9,384	6,274	840		2,270
Springfield, MO	52,871	25,087	2,615	570	24,599
Tracy, CA	11,890	10,226	347	118	1,199
Average	28,359	14,471	2,476	1,741	10,397

*** Coral Springs response counts each individual item (i.e. currency - bill/coin) and is not included in the average.

* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

** Not Tracked

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Police Dogs

2010 Average Number of Police Dogs is 4.5

City Name	Assigned Police Dogs	Average years of experience for Police Dogs	Dog bites by Police Dogs	Apprehensions credited to Police Dogs	Drug finds (positive hits) by Police Dogs	Bomb searches by Police Dogs
Alameda, CA	No Program					
Bellevue, WA	4	5.0	4	10	134	No Bomb Dogs
Boca Raton, FL **	3	3.6	2	7	Not Tracked	18
Boise, ID	9	4.7	4	149	478	No Bomb Dogs
Boulder, CO	No Program					
Broken Arrow, OK	5	4.0	1	9	101	3
Cedar Rapids, IA	7	4.0	1	104	390	48
Chesapeake, VA	8	3.0	4	75	160	1
Chula Vista, CA	6	3.0	1	45	118	No Bomb Dogs
Coral Springs, FL	5	5.4	13	89	183	No Bomb Dogs
Edmond, OK	5	4.0	0	1	124	12
Fort Collins, CO	4	4.3	5	11	97	No Bomb Dogs
Fremont, CA	4	3.3	8	32	8	0
Garland, TX	4	2.8	20	73	30	0
Henderson, NV	8	4.5	5	20	101	0
Irving, TX	2	4.0	4	10	35	No Bomb Dogs
Lakewood, CO	3	5.5	2	46	28	0
Lawrence, KS	No Program					
Lincoln, NE **	5	5.0	2	41	Not Tracked	Not Tracked
Naperville, IL **	2	9.0	1	13	Not Tracked	No Bomb Dogs
Norman, OK	5	5.0	2	40	125	No Bomb Dogs
Olathe, KS	5	6.0	2	8	225	No Bomb Dogs
Overland Park, KS	3	5.0	0	10	220	200
Plano, TX	3	5.0	3	29	48	No Bomb Dogs
Richardson, TX	2	3.0	1	9	101	0
San Angelo, TX	4	6.8	1	20	60	0
Springfield, MO	4	7.0	7	47	218	No Bomb Dogs
Tracy, CA	3	5.5	2	54	0	0
Average	4.5	4.7	3.8	38.1	135.6	14.1

* Some Data Not Available and not included in average

** Not Tracked

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Response to Resistance/Aggression

City Name	Precautionary Force	Verbal Direction	Empty Hand Control	Intermediate Weapon	Impact Weapon	Lethal Force
Alameda, CA *	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	17	4	4	0
Bellevue, WA *	15	Not Tracked	88	0	1	0
Boca Raton, FL *	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	76	0	30	1
Boise, ID *	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	12	2	39	1
Boulder, CO *	100	Not Tracked	34	2	48	0
Broken Arrow, OK *	Not Tracked	26	18	0	7	0
Cedar Rapids, IA *	21	110	32	4	53	0
Chesapeake, VA *	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	13	40	2	0
Chula Vista, CA ***	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	226	34	Not Tracked	0
Coral Springs, FL *	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	32	0	32	0
Edmond, OK *	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	2	14	0
Fort Collins, CO *	158	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	31	24	3
Fremont, CA	389	70	112	5	39	3
Garland, TX *	Not Tracked	212	226	43	8	0
Henderson, NV *	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	442	25	107	5
Irving, TX *	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	123	1	69	1
Lakewood, CO *	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	39	2	24	1
Lawrence, KS *	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	0
Lincoln, NE *	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	33	10	20	0
Naperville, IL *	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	4	0	7	0
Norman, OK *	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	27	3	31	1
Olathe, KS *	76	Not Tracked	13	1	3	0
Overland Park, KS *	Not Tracked	239	122	4	25	1
Plano, TX	189	89	182	13	7	4
Richardson, TX *	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	4	1	1
San Angelo, TX *	264	Not Tracked	498	3	22	0
Springfield, MO *	38	Not Tracked	71	16	88	5
Tracy, CA *	Not Tracked	Not Tracked	2	3	2	0
Average	156.3	106.6	116.3	10.1	28.3	1.0

** Chula Vista combines Precautionary Force and Impact Weapon with Intermediate Weapon

* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Tasers

City Name	Number of Taser Displays	Number of Taser Deployments	Number of Adverse Reactions to Taser Deployments
Alameda, CA	Not Used	Not Used	Not Used
Bellevue, WA	0	9	0
Boca Raton, FL **	Not Tracked	30	0
Boise, ID	5	39	0
Boulder, CO	25	20	0
Broken Arrow, OK **	Not Tracked	6	0
Cedar Rapids, IA	22	31	0
Chesapeake, VA **	Not Tracked	0	0
Chula Vista, CA **	Not Tracked	34	0
Coral Springs, FL **	Not Tracked	32	0
Edmond, OK **	Not Tracked	13	0
Fort Collins, CO	1	0	0
Fremont, CA	64	18	0
Garland, TX **	Not Tracked	108	0
Henderson, NV	0	106	0
Irving, TX	37	31	0
Lakewood, CO **	14	Not Tracked	0
Lawrence, KS	10	8	0
Lincoln, NE **	Not Tracked	9	0
Naperville, IL **	Not Tracked	7	0
Norman, OK	4	27	0
Olathe, KS	14	9	0
Overland Park, KS	23	3	0
Plano, TX	4	1	0
Richardson, TX	Not Used	Not Used	Not Used
San Angelo, TX **	Not Tracked	15	0
Springfield, MO **	Not Tracked	84	0
Tracy, CA **	Not Tracked	2	0

* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

Section B - General

** Not Tracked

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Citizen Complaints / Department Employees

Citizen Complaints - defined as a citizen's concern/complaint directed to a department employee's actions that may be a violation of policy, procedure, or code of ethics and is turned over to an internal affairs unit for investigation.

City Name	Total Complaints	Citizen Complaints against Sworn					Citizen Complaints against Non-Sworn				
		Total	Founded	Unfounded	Not Substantiated	Under Investigation	Total	Founded	Unfounded	Not Substantiated	Under Investigation
Alameda, CA	6	5	1	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Bellevue, WA	75	74	3	5	66	0	1	1	0	0	0
Boca Raton, FL	6	5	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Boise, ID	35	35	10	17	7	1	0	0	0	0	0
Boulder, CO **											
Broken Arrow, OK	22	18	4	8	6	0	4	2	1	1	0
Cedar Rapids, IA	36	36	8	27	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chesapeake, VA	63	60	11	6	38	5	3	1	0	2	0
Chula Vista, CA	18	16	2	2	9	3	2	1	0	1	0
Coral Springs, FL	30	29	3	16	2	8	1	1	0	0	0
Edmond, OK	9	7	0	6	0	1	2	0	2	0	0
Fort Collins, CO	10	10	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fremont, CA	11	11	1	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
Garland, TX	22	20	2	15	3	0	2	2	0	0	0
Henderson, NV **	48	48	11	24	0	13					
Irving, TX	47	39	9	23	7	0	8	2	2	4	0
Lakewood, CO	67	58	9	18	31	0	9	3	5	1	0
Lawrence, KS	6	5	2	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
Lincoln, NE	15	15	1	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Naperville, IL	7	6	1	4	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
Norman, OK	39	37	3	13	20	1	2	1	1	0	0
Olathe, KS	25	24	2	17	5	0	1	1	0	0	0
Overland Park, KS	44	44	6	36	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Plano, TX	101	91	17	28	42	4	10	5	1	0	4
Richardson, TX	27	26	5	11	9	1	1	0	0	1	0
San Angelo, TX	6	6	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Springfield, MO	51	51	4	20	14	13	0	0	0	0	0
Tracy, CA	4	4	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average	30.7	28.9	4.6	12.3	10.1	1.9	1.9	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.2

Founded: evidence shows the employee was at fault.

Unfounded: evidence shows the employee was NOT at fault

Not Substantiated: evidence shows the claim has no merit/didn't happen.

* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Internal Complaints / Department Employees

Internal Complaints - defined as a department employee's concern/complaint directed toward another department employee's actions that may be a violation of policy, procedure, or code of ethics and is turned over to an internal affairs unit for investigation.

City Name	Total Complaints	Internal Complaints against Sworn					Internal Complaints against Non-Sworn				
		Total	Founded	Unfounded	Not Substantiated	Under Investigation	Total	Founded	Unfounded	Not Substantiated	Under Investigation
Alameda, CA	12	11	8	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Bellevue, WA	56	52	51	0	1	0	4	4	0	0	0
Boca Raton, FL	4	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Boise, ID	69	67	30	9	28	0	2	2	0	0	0
Boulder, CO	4	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
Broken Arrow, OK	7	3	3	0	0	0	4	2	1	1	0
Cedar Rapids, IA	16	1	1	0	0	0	15	4	10	1	0
Chesapeake, VA	58	26	20	2	4	0	32	24	0	1	7
Chula Vista, CA	27	22	18	0	1	3	5	5	0	0	0
Coral Springs, FL	11	11	8	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Edmond, OK	11	10	6	1	3	0	1	0	0	1	0
Fort Collins, CO	8	5	3	2	0	0	3	2	1	0	0
Fremont, CA	14	11	10	1	0	0	3	3	0	0	0
Garland, TX	22	18	8	10	0	0	4	3	0	1	0
Henderson, NV **	48	48	11	5	19	13					
Irving, TX	43	28	26	0	2	0	15	15	0	0	0
Lakewood, CO	44	36	29	1	4	2	8	4	2	2	0
Lawrence, KS	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lincoln, NE	6	4	0	3	1	0	2	1	1	0	0
Naperville, IL	16	7	7	0	0	0	9	7	1	0	1
Norman, OK	10	8	4	3	1	0	2	1	1	0	0
Olathe, KS	12	11	6	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Overland Park, KS	20	16	12	3	1	0	4	1	3	0	0
Plano, TX	80	70	64	1	3	2	10	8	0	2	0
Richardson, TX	19	18	16	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1
San Angelo, TX	42	42	32	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Springfield, MO	18	15	10	2	2	1	3	2	0	1	0
Tracy, CA	4	4	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Average	24.5	19.8	14.0	2.2	2.7	0.9	4.9	3.4	0.7	0.4	0.4

Founded: evidence shows the employee was at fault.

Unfounded: evidence shows the employee was NOT at fault

Not Substantiated: evidence shows the claim has no merit/didn't happen.

* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Top Three Reasons for Citizen Complaints

#1 - Unprofessional Conduct			
#2 - Discourtesy			
#3 - Use of Force			
City Name	#1	#2	#3
Alameda, CA	Unprofessional Conduct	Performance	False Arrest
Bellevue, WA	Quality of Service	Discourtesy	Performance
Boca Raton, FL	Unprofessional Conduct	Procedural	Untruthfulness
Boise, ID	Unprofessional Conduct	Procedural	Use of Force
Boulder, CO	Unprofessional Conduct	Untruthfulness	Performance
Broken Arrow, OK	Unprofessional Conduct	Harassment	Use of Force
Cedar Rapids, IA	Unprofessional Conduct	Use of Force	Performance
Chesapeake, VA	Discourtesy	Use of Force	Harassment
Chula Vista, CA	Performance	Discourtesy	Use of Force
Coral Springs, FL	Discourtesy	Use of Force	Unprofessional Conduct
Edmond, OK *	Unprofessional Conduct	Procedural	
Fort Collins, CO	Performance	Use of Force	Procedural
Fremont, CA	Discourtesy	Procedural	Use of Force
Garland, TX	Use of Force	Unprofessional Conduct	Discourtesy
Henderson, NV	Quality of Service	Misconduct	Procedural
Irving, TX	Performance	Unprofessional Conduct	Use of Force
Lakewood, CO	Discourtesy	Performance	Procedural
Lawrence, KS	Discourtesy	Performance	Unprofessional Conduct
Lincoln, NE	Unprofessional Conduct	Use of Force	Bias Based
Naperville, IL	Use of Force	Discourtesy	Procedural
Norman, OK	Unprofessional Conduct	Performance	Quality of Service
Olathe, KS	Discourtesy	Procedural	Bias Based
Overland Park, KS	Unprofessional Conduct	Procedural	Bias Based
Plano, TX	Discourtesy	Unprofessional Conduct	Procedural
Richardson, TX	Discourtesy	Use of Force	Performance
San Angelo, TX *	Use of Force	Discourtesy	
Springfield, MO	Discourtesy	Procedural	Use of Force
Tracy, CA	Performance	Procedural	Use of Force

NOTE: Complaint types were modified slightly to provide consistency among the responses.
The actual complaint type is recorded in the Survey Master Worksheet.

* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Top Three Causes of Officer Injury

#1 - Apprehensions			
#2 - Training			
#3 - Traffic Crashes			
City Name	#1	#2	#3
Alameda, CA	Apprehensions	Training	Traffic Crashes
Bellevue, WA	Training	Apprehensions	Disease/Haz Mat Exposures
Boca Raton, FL	Apprehensions	Training	Traffic Crashes
Boise, ID	Apprehensions	Slips, Trips and Falls	Training
Boulder, CO	Miscellaneous	Apprehensions	Training
Broken Arrow, OK	Slips, Trips and Falls	Apprehensions	Traffic Crashes
Cedar Rapids, IA	Apprehensions	Training	Traffic Crashes
Chesapeake, VA	Apprehensions	Traffic Crashes	Training
Chula Vista, CA	Miscellaneous	Apprehensions	Training
Coral Springs, FL	Disease/Haz Mat Exposures	Apprehensions	Training
Edmond, OK	Training	Traffic Crashes	Apprehensions
Fort Collins, CO	Apprehensions	Miscellaneous	Slips, Trips and Falls
Fremont, CA	Apprehensions	Training	Fitness Program
Garland, TX	Traffic Crashes	Apprehensions	Slips, Trips and Falls
Henderson, NV	Slips, Trips and Falls	Calls For Service	Miscellaneous
Irving, TX	Apprehensions	Training	Traffic Crashes
Lakewood, CO	Apprehensions	Traffic Crashes	Slips, Trips and Falls
Lawrence, KS	Foot Pursuits	Slips, Trips and Falls	Apprehensions
Lincoln, NE	Apprehensions	Slips, Trips and Falls	Training
Naperville, IL	Apprehensions	Traffic Crashes	Training
Norman, OK	Training	Apprehensions	Miscellaneous
Olathe, KS	Training	Traffic Crashes	Apprehensions
Overland Park, KS	Training	Apprehensions	Slips, Trips and Falls
Plano, TX	Training	Calls For Service	Apprehensions
Richardson, TX	Slips, Trips and Falls	Traffic Crashes	Training Exercise
San Angelo, TX	Apprehensions	Disease/Haz Mat Exposures	Training
Springfield, MO	Apprehensions	Foot Pursuits	Disease/Haz Mat Exposures
Tracy, CA	Foot Pursuits	Traffic Crashes	Miscellaneous

NOTE: Injury types were modified slightly to provide consistency among the responses.
The actual injury type is recorded on the Survey Master Worksheet.


* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Officer Training Hours, 2-Year Comparison

2010 Average is 112.8 Training Hours Per Officer



* Some Data Not Available and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Officer Training Hours, 5-Year Comparison

2010 Average is 112.8 Training Hours Per Officer

City Name	In-House Training Hours per Officer	Formal Training Hours per Officer	Total Training Hours 2010	Total Training Hours 2009	Total Training Hours 2008	Total Training Hours 2007	Total Training Hours 2006
Alameda, CA	72	24	96	96	187	132	72.2
Bellevue, WA	80	62	142	170	144	143	132
Boca Raton, FL	71	75	146	79	45	92	92
Boise, ID *	59	55	114	63	69	69	
Boulder, CO	32	35	67	54	101	142	127
Broken Arrow, OK	33	26	59	77	155	104	144.51
Cedar Rapids, IA *	107	24	131	107	68	105	
Chesapeake, VA *	206	27	233	190	71		
Chula Vista, CA *	60	40	100	19	35	144	
Coral Springs, FL	32	44	76	86	75	95	75
Edmond, OK	102	28	130	116	87	94	97
Fort Collins, CO	110	10	120	120	130	130	130
Fremont, CA	67	78	145	152	119	110	220
Garland, TX	49	17	66	91	69	82	77
Henderson, NV *	63	31	94	100			
Irving, TX	130	26	156	156	156	156	10
Lakewood, CO	40	56	96	92		40	40
Lawrence, KS *	46	27	73	106			
Lincoln, NE	69	31	100	116	77	84	117
Naperville, IL	30	80	110	154	62	89	75
Norman, OK	65	55	120	184	101	88	95
Olathe, KS	74	51	125	135	117	94	89
Overland Park, KS	56	24	80	104	113	84	150
Plano, TX	43	42	85	85	97	76	102
Richardson, TX	67	21	88	38	36	36	33
San Angelo, TX	145	36	181	177	160	84	157
Springfield, MO *	105	20	125	40		54	
Tracy, CA *	63	39	102	120	108		
Average	74.1	38.7	112.8	108.2	99.2	97.0	101.8


* Some Data Not Available and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Percent of Officer Graduates of Command Schools, 2-Year Comparison

2010 Average is 9.9% Graduates of Command Schools



* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Percent of Officer Graduates of Command Schools, 5-Year Comparison

2010 Average is 9.9% Graduates of Command Schools

City Name	Authorized Sworn	Command School Graduates	Percentage of Graduates 2010	Percentage of Graduates 2009	Percentage of Graduates 2008	Percentage of Graduates 2007	Percentage of Graduates 2006
Alameda, CA	92	8	8.7%	8.7%	6.1%	12.1%	8.1%
Bellevue, WA	180	12	6.7%	6.6%	4.4%	4.0%	5.2%
Boca Raton, FL	198	10	5.1%	6.6%	5.9%	5.6%	5.9%
Boise, ID *	310	40	12.9%	0.3%	4.3%	3.1%	
Boulder, CO	171	18	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	7.0%	1.8%
Broken Arrow, OK	124	28	22.6%	21.1%	16.9%	18.7%	16.3%
Cedar Rapids, IA *	202	4	2.0%	2.9%	3.0%	3.6%	
Chesapeake, VA *	383	120	31.3%	10.9%	11.0%		
Chula Vista, CA *	240	2	0.8%	0.0%	0.4%	1.2%	
Coral Springs, FL	202	18	8.9%	8.4%	7.9%	7.9%	5.3%
Edmond, OK *	116	9	7.8%	7.8%	7.8%	6.9%	4.5%
Fort Collins, CO	170	11	6.5%	6.5%	6.5%	5.5%	4.8%
Fremont, CA *	183	10	5.5%	1.6%	1.0%	6.6%	4.3%
Garland, TX	323	32	9.9%	9.3%	9.0%	8.8%	8.6%
Henderson, NV *	391	15	3.8%	3.6%			
Irving, TX	345	97	28.1%	22.9%	21.8%	20.9%	0.9%
Lakewood, CO *	258	9	3.5%	2.7%	0.0%	0.4%	
Lawrence, KS *	142	2	1.4%	0.7%			
Lincoln, NE	321	16	5.0%	6.6%	4.7%	6.0%	5.7%
Naperville, IL *	167	10	6.0%	2.9%	11.9%	10.6%	
Norman, OK	165	17	10.3%	12.7%	14.3%	15.6%	16.3%
Olathe, KS	171	6	3.5%	3.5%	5.3%	5.7%	4.8%
Overland Park, KS	253	62	24.5%	26.7%	26.5%	26.4%	31.3%
Plano, TX	341	35	10.3%	10.0%	8.4%	6.1%	14.5%
Richardson, TX	150	5	3.3%	6.0%	12.1%	8.1%	7.4%
San Angelo, TX	159	3	1.9%	2.5%	2.5%	1.9%	2.6%
Springfield, MO *	326	10	3.1%	0.3%	2.1%	2.8%	
Tracy, CA *	85	3	3.5%	2.2%	1.1%		
Average	220	22	9.9%	7.5%	8.2%	8.1%	8.2%

* Some Data Not Available and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Alarm Data

City Name	Burglar Alarm Ordinance (Yes / No)	Verified Response (Yes / No)	Suspension of Police Response (Yes / No)	Suspension Allowed After How Many Alarms?	Total # Alarm Users	Total # False Alarm Responses
Alameda, CA	Yes	No	No	Not Allowed	Not Tracked	1,555
Bellevue, WA	Yes	No	No	Not Allowed	Not Tracked	Not Tracked
Boca Raton, FL	Yes	No	No	Not Allowed	Not Tracked	5,985
Boise, ID	Yes	No	No	Not Allowed	Not Tracked	3,160
Boulder, CO	Yes	Yes	Yes	3 in 6 months	Not Tracked	795
Broken Arrow, OK	Yes	Yes	Yes	3 in 90 days	1,834	4,855
Cedar Rapids, IA	Yes	No	No	Not Allowed	3,253	2,276
Chesapeake, VA	yes	no	Yes	10	Not Tracked	7,597
Chula Vista, CA	Yes	No	Yes	6	14,499	5,123
Coral Springs, FL	Yes	No	Yes	6	13,971	4,144
Edmond, OK	Yes	No	No	Not Allowed	Not Tracked	4,634
Fort Collins, CO	Yes	No	Yes	2 in 30 days, 4 in 90 days, 6 in 180 days, 8 in 360 days	Not Tracked	2,373
Fremont, CA	Yes	Yes	Yes	5+ activations in 12 months	4,970	520
Garland, TX	Yes	No	Yes	8 - burglary 6 - robbery 4 - fire 3 - medical	19,506	8,060
Henderson, NV	Yes	Yes	No	Not Allowed	Not Tracked	403
Irving, TX	Yes	No	Yes	12 in permit period	11,259	8,881
Lakewood, CO	Yes	Yes	Yes	6	Not Tracked	1,880
Lawrence, KS	No	No	No	Not Allowed	Not Tracked	2,975
Lincoln, NE	Yes	No	No	Not Allowed	Not Tracked	2,797
Naperville, IL	Yes	Yes	Yes	11	Not Tracked	2,574
Norman, OK	Yes	No	Yes	5+	5,766	6,841
Olathe, KS	Yes	No	Yes	10	5,316	2,226
Overland Park, KS	Yes	No	Yes	10	17,595	3,894
Plano, TX	Yes	No	No	Not Allowed	24,453	12,743
Richardson, TX	Yes	No	No	Not Allowed	9,469	4,938
San Angelo, TX	Yes	No	Yes	6	4,433	2,544
Springfield, MO	Yes	No	Yes	12 in 12 months	10,581	4,181
Tracy, CA	Yes	No	Yes	5 in 12 months	2,549	1,603

Note: "False Alarms" are defined as: An alarm activation where the responding offices found no evidence of actual or suspected criminal activity.

* Some Data Not Available and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Grant Programs

City Name	Source	Amount	Purpose
Alameda, CA	CA Cops Program 10/11	\$119,083	Supplement Front Line Services
	CA Cops Program 9/10	\$122,150	Supplement Front Line Services
	US DOJ Byrne Grant 2010	\$22,399	Training and Equipment
Bellevue, WA	WA State Patrol	\$21,378	Marijuana Eradication
	US DOJ	\$25,319	Swat Vests
	WA DOC	\$272,745	Drug Task Force Staffing
Boca Raton, FL	US DOJ Byrne Grant 2009	\$100,755	Integrated Access Control System
	US DOJ Byrne Grant 2009	\$23,100	System
	US DOJ COPS Technology Program 2006	\$300,000	Citywide Wireless Mesh System
Boise, ID	ID DOT, Office of Highway Safety	\$150,000	Impaired driving, aggressive driving, seatbelt and school zone safety
	ID AG, Idaho Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force	\$40,000	Investigate Internet crimes against children
	ID State Police, Commercial Vehicle Enforcement	\$83,440	Equipment and operating costs for Federal Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program
Boulder, CO	District Attorney's Council (Victims of Crime Act)	\$39,520	Fund Victims of Crime advocate
	District Attorney's Council (Nat'l Forensics Science Improvement)	\$15,685	Forensic Training for lab personnel
	US DOJ BJA	\$24,359	Crime Prevention, Technology upgrades, training
Broken Arrow, OK	District Attorney's Council (Victims of Crime Act)	\$39,520	Fund Victims of Crime advocate
	District Attorney's Council	\$15,685	Forensic Training for lab personnel
	US DOJ BJA	\$24,359	Crime Prevention, Technology upgrades, training

* Some Data Not Available and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Grant Programs

City Name	Source	Amount	Purpose
Cedar Rapids, IA	Weed & Seed	\$24,758	Not Provided
	DeCat Alcohol Grant	\$16,000	Not Provided
	Alcohol Grant 11-410	\$46,250	Not Provided
Chesapeake, VA	US DOJ BJA	\$174,157	Equipment for various units
	DOJ BJA - Recovery Act	\$776,997	Information Technology Projects
	US DOJ BJA	\$188,920	Equipment for various units
Chula Vista, CA	CA Office of Traffic Safety	\$117,412	Sobriety Checkpoint Program
	CA Office of Traffic Safety	\$269,574	DUI Enforcement
	CA Office of Traffic Safety	\$486,539	DUI Prosecution & Awareness
Coral Springs, FL	BJA Recovery Funds	\$115,128	Purchase Necessary Equipment for Agency
	BJA Block Grant	\$27,979	Purchase Necessary Equipment for Agency
	BJA Block Grant	\$29,058	Purchase Necessary Equipment for Agency
Edmond, OK	OK Highway Safety Office	\$60,000	Traffic Accident Reduction Program
	OK Highway Safety Office	\$58,400	Motorcycle Safety Program
Fort Collins, CO	DOJ	\$93,129	Drug Task Force
	CO Division of Criminal Justice	\$30,000	Restorative Justice Program
	CO Division of Criminal Justice	\$24,821	Juvenile Diversion
Fremont, CA	US DOJ BJA	\$61,632	Undercover Operations/Technology
	CA Office of Traffic Safety	\$16,181	DUI Enforcement
	CA Office of Traffic Safety	\$9,761	DUI Checkpoints
Garland, TX	DOJ - JAG 2010	\$51,112	Panoscan MK-3 Camera
	TX - Recovery Act JAG	\$85,000	ICX Tactical Platform
	TX DOT	\$329,991	Reduce Traffic Fatalities/Injuries

* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Grant Programs

City Name	Source	Amount	Purpose
Henderson, NV	US DOJ BJA Byrne Grant	\$502,113	Lab Personnel
	Homeland Security	\$468,633	Interoperability - Handheld Radios
	COPS Technology	\$500,000	Robot and Fire Simulator
Irving, TX	2010 FY JAG Grant	\$55,686	Jail Surveillance System Upgrade
	TX Governor's Office	\$150,000	Gang Initiative Grant
	TX Hwy Safety Grant (DOT)	\$89,955	Speed & DWI Enforcement
Lakewood, CO	State of Colorado	\$1.6 million	Regional Auto Theft Task Force
	US DOJ BJA	\$146,875	LE Equipment and Personnel
	CO Byrne	\$50,000	Boys and Girls Club
Lawrence, KS	KS Governor's Grant Program - Federal S.T.O.P. Violence Against Women Act Grant	\$53,613	Funding Additional Detective Position for Investigation of Cases Involving Violence Against Women
	KS DOJ - Bureau of Transportation Safety & Technology	\$27,000	Special Traffic Enforcement Program (STEP) Funding Assignment of Officers to Special Enforcement Activities
	US DOJ	\$10,500	Purchase of Bullet Proof Vests
Lincoln, NE	NE DOJ Crime Commission	\$9,584	Police Working with Education Referrals
	NIJ UNL	\$49,236	Evaluation of GPS-Enabled Cell Phone/Laptop app. For Law Enforcement
	NE DOJ Crime Commission	\$326,357	Equipment for Drug Task Force
Naperville, IL	ARRA Federal Funding	\$68,000	Technology implementation
Norman, OK	US DOJ-COPS	\$150,000	CAD/RMS update
	Homeland Security	\$72,000	Fusion Center Analyst
	US DOJ BJA	\$36,500	Equipment
Olathe, KS	US DOJ	\$137,100	700 MHz Radios for USD 233
	US DOJ	\$81,061	Crime Analysis Software, Surveillance Equipment, Radars, Interview Equipment.
	KS DOT	\$43,680	STEP - Impaired Driving Deterrence

* Some Data Not Available
and not included in average

A Coalition for Mutual Support

Benchmark City Survey - 2010 Data

Grant Programs

City Name	Source	Amount	Purpose
Overland Park, KS	US DOJ BJA - Byrne/JAG Grant	\$50,095	Purchase of New Handguns
	KS DOT - Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ) Grant	\$80,000	Purchase of Hybrid Electric Vehicles
	KS DOT	\$35,000	Seatbelt Enforcement
Plano, TX	US DOJ COPS - Child Sexual Predator Program	\$489,330	For the purpose of establishing and enhancing strategies to locate, arrest, and prosecute child sexual predators and exploiters and to enforce state sex offender registration laws.
	US DOJ JAG	\$50,139	Purchase equipment to enhance the Department's ability to detect and deter crime.
	TX DOT- Selective Traffic Enforcement Program - Impaired Driving Mobilization	\$26,227.50	Reimburse police officer overtime related to enforcing impaired driving mobilization during holiday seasons.
Richardson, TX	JAG/Local Solicitation	\$20,249	Neighborhood Police Officer
	JAG/Local Solicitation	\$19,264	Neighborhood Police Officer
	US DOJ	\$15,851	Bulletproof Vest Partnership
San Angelo, TX	US DOJ OJP	\$42,268	Surveillance Camera, ALPR
	US DOJ OJP	\$4,810	1/2 of Bullet Proof Vests
	TX DOT	\$8,000	Seatbelt Enforcement
Springfield, MO	US DOJ COPS Hiring Program	\$2,152,620	15 Police Officers
	US DOJ JAG	\$93,470	Driver license scanners, ticket printers and AVL/GPS units.
	US DOJ 2009 COPS Technology Program	\$700,000	Replacement RMS
Tracy, CA	CA State COPS	\$100,000	Replace Outdate Technology
	US DOJ JAG	\$30,000	Replace Outdated Technology

* Some Data Not Available and not included in average